Bayer CropScience
BioScience

FORMAT FOR THE PRESENTATION OF THE RESULT OF DELIBERATE RELEASE INTO THE ENVIRONMENT OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED HIGHER PLANTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10 OF DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC

1. General information

1.1 European notification number: B/ES/08/39

1.2 Member State of notification: Spain

1.3 Date of consent and consent number: 04-04-2008, B/ES/08/39

2. Report status

2.1 Please indicate whether, according to Article 3 of the present Decision, the current report is:

- Final Report **X**
- A post-release monitoring report
  - Final
  - Intermediary

3. Characteristics of the release

3.1 Scientific name of the recipient organism:

Gossipium hirsutum

3.2	Transformation event(s) (acronym(s)) or vectors[1] used (if transformation event identity not available))

GHB119

3.3	Unique identifier, if available:

3.4	Please provide the following information as well as the field(s) lay-out:

Geographical location(s) (administrative region and, where appropriate, grid reference)	**Size of the release site(s)[2] (m^2)** The event GHB119 has been sown, together with other notified events, in the followings locations and with the following total surfaces.	**Identity[3] and approximate number of GM higher plants per event actually released** (number of seeds/plants per m^2)	**Duration of the release(s)** (from……*(day /month/year)* ……until……*(d/m/y)……)*
-Sevilla **Termino municipal:** **Alcalá del Río**	**Total surface: $m^2$8.541** **GM plots size:** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length**	**20 seeds/m^2**	**From 16.05.08 until 19.11.08**
-Sevilla **Termino municipal:**	**Total surface: $m^2$11.512+5035** **GM plots size:**	**20 seeds/m^2**	**From 15.05.08, until 19.11.08**

1 In the case of small scale field trials where several lines may be tested, the vectors used should be mentioned, which gives insight into the introduced traits and/or genetic elements. In the case of large(r) scale trials, the number of events notified is limited to only one or a few events.

2 Specify the size of the GM area and, where appropriate, the size of the non-GM area (e.g. non-GM border).

3 Vectors used.

Coria del Río	**8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length** **GM plots size (target organisms-non target organisms):** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 10 m length**		
-Sevilla **Termino municipal:** **Alcalá de Guadaira**	**Total surface: $m^2$10.931** **GM plots size:** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length**	**20 seeds/m²**	**From 14.05.08 until 19.11..08**
-Cádiz **Termino municipal:** **Jerez de la Frontera**	**Total Surface: $m^2$19.456** **GM plots size:** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length**	**20 seeds/m²**	**From 14.05.08 until 18.11.08**
-Cádiz **Termino municipal:** **Jérez de la Frontera**	**Total Surface: $m^2$9.187** **GM plots size:** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length**	**20 seeds/m²**	**From 15.05.08 (re-sowing 06.06.08) until 18.11.08**
-Cádiz **Termino municipal:** **Jerez de la Frontera**	**Total surface: $m^2$9.716+4803** **GM plots size:** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length** **GM plots size (target organisms-non target organisms):** **8 rows x 0.95 m width and 10 m length**	**20 seeds/m²**	**From 3.05.08 until 19.11.08**

Bayer CropScience
BioScience



1:Experimental layout (general) for field trial

1: Experimental layout: five repetitions, R1, R2, R3, R4 y R5, completely ramdomized.
Treatment 1, 2: Conventional cotton, no treated with glifosate
Treatment 7: GM cotton not treated with glufosinate
Treatment 8: GM cotton treated with glufosinate
Treatment 3, 4, 5, 6 :GM Cotton (other notifications)
Treatment 9, 10, 11: Commercial varieties: Alexandro, Crema 111, Hermes
Plot = 8 rows x 0.95 m width and 7.0 m length;
Buffer zone: 4 rows x 0.95 m between plots and all around the trial
Buffer exterior, siempre>4 lineos.
Isolation distance from any other commercial cotton, at least 220 m.

Bayer CropScience
BioScience

2: Plots Study target organisms non target organisms [2]

	R1	R2	R3	R4
No tratado con insecticidas	3	1	2	1
	4	2	4	3
	1	4	3	4
	2	3	1	2
Tratado con insecticidas	7	5	6	5
	8	6	8	7
	5	8	7	8
	6	7	5	6

2:Experimental layout (general), study target organisms - non target organims-no diana.
Experimental layout: four repetitions, R1, R2, R3 and R4, completely ramdomized.
Treatment 3, 7: GM Cotton
Treatment 2, 5, 6, 7: GM Cotton (other notifications)
Treatment 4, 8: Conventional Cotton
Plot = 8 rows x 0.95 m width and 10.0 m lenght;
Buffer zone: 4 rows x 0.95 m between plots and all around the trial
Buffer zone all around the trial, at least 4 rows x 0.95 m.
Isolation from any other commercial cotton: at least 220 m.

4.	Any Kind of product that the notifier intends to notify at a later stage

4.1	Does the notifier intend to notify the released transformation event(s) as product(s) for placing on the market under Community legislation(s)at a later stage?

☐ Yes ☐ No X Unkonw to date

If yes, indicate the country (-ies) of notification:

If yes, specify for which use(s):

- Import
- Cultivation (e.g. Seed/planting material production)
- Food
- Feed
- Pharmaceutical use (or processing for pharmaceutical use)
- Processing for:
  - Food use
  - Feed use
  - Industrial use
- Others (specify)

5.	Type(s) of deliberate release(s)

Please select the main type(s) (in boxes) as well as sub-type(s) of the release(s). In the case of multi-sites, multi-events and/or multi-annual release(s), please provide a general overview of the type(s) of deliberate release(s) which has/have been carried out for the full duration of the consent. Please tick the appropriate type(s):

5.1	Deliberate release(s) for research purposes	☐

5.2	**Deliberate release(s) for development purposes**	**X**

– Event screeing

– Proof of concept[4]

– Agronomic performances (e.g. efficiency/selectivity of plant protection product, yield capacity, germination capacity, crop establishment, plant vigour, plant height, susceptibility to climatic factors/diseases, etc) (Specify):

Biodiversity and Agronomic evaluation of the GM variety under the climatic conditions of the Spanish cotton cultivation zone, and the efficacy on problem weeds of cotton, as well as the environmental behaviour (survivability, dormancy) of the Genetically Modified Organism.

– Altered agronomic properties (e.g. disease/pest/drought/frost resistance, etc) (Specify)

– Altered qualitative properties (prolongad shelf-life, enhanced nutricional value, modified composition, etc) (Specify)

– Stability of the expression

– Multiplication of lines

– Hybrid vigour study

– Molecular farming[5]

– Phyto-remediation

– Others: (Describe)……..

5.3	**Official testing**	**X**

– Variety registration on a national variety catalogue

– DUS (= Distinctness Uniformito Stability)

– VCU (= Value of Cultivation and Use)

– Others: (Specify)…**Agronomic Evaluaton trias for Variety Registration**

5.4	**Herbicide authorisation**	☐

[4] For example, testing the new trait under environmental conditions.

[5] "Molecular farming" means the production of substances (for instance, proteins, pharmaceuticals) by plants, which have been genetically modified for a particular trait. "Molecular farming" could be defined as well as the production of plant-synthesised pharmaceuticals, plant-made pharmaceuticals, plant-besed proteins production, etc.

5.5	Deliberate release(s) for demonstration purposes	☐

5.6	Seeds multiplication	☐

5.7	Deliberate release(s) for biosafety/risk assessment research	X

- **Vertical gene transfer studies**
  - **Out-crossing with conventional crops**
  - **Out-crossing with wild relatives**
- **Horizontal gene transfer studies (gene transfer to micro-organisms),**
- **Management of volunteers**
- **Potential changes in persistente or dispersal**
- **Potential invasiveness** X
- **Potential effects on target organism** X
- **Potential effects on non-target organism** X
- **Observations of resistant relatives**
- **Observations of resistant insects**
- **Others: (Describe) …….....**

5.8	Other(s) type(s) of deliberate release(s):	☐

(Describe)

6. Method(s), result(s) of the release, management and monitoring measure(s) in respect of any risk to human health or the environment

6.1	Risk management measure(s):

Please report the risk management measures, which have been used to avoid or minimise the spread of the GMO(s) outside the site(s) of release, and in particular those measures

- which were not originally notified in the application,
- which were applied in addition to the conditionsi n the consent,
- which the consent required only under certain conditions (e.g. dry periods, flooding)
- for which the consent allowed the notifier a choice among different measures.

Tick the examples where appropriate:

6.1.1 Before the sowing/planting:

– Clear labelling of the GM seeds/planting material lots (distinct from other seeds/tubers/etc) (Describe) **X**

Seeds were packed in double bags which were closed until sowing. The seed sacks were clearly labelled as containing GM material.

– Segregation during the processing and transport of the seed/planting material (describe the method involved; provide example(s) of containment to prevent spillage during the processing and transport). **X**

Seed were stored and transported separate from other no GM seeds. These were securely sealed to ensure containment during transport to the trial site. Only the necessary number of seeds has been transported to the field trials

– Destruction of superfluous seeds/planting material (Describe the method involved) **X**

The seeds have been weighted before and after the planting, the sowing machine was calibrated and all remaining seed will be sent to BayerBioScience N.V. for disposal.

– Temporal isolation (Specify)

– Rotation (Specify the previous crop(s) **X**

Province	Village	Previous Crop
Sevilla	Alcalá del Río	Maize
Sevilla	Coria del Río	Cotton
Sevilla	Alcalá de Guadaira	Sugar beet
Cádiz	Jerez de la Frontera	Fallow land
Cádiz	Jerez de la Frontera	Alfalfa
Cádiz	Jerez de la Frontera	Maize

– Other(s): (Specify)

6.1.2 During the sowing/planting activities:

– Method of sowing/planting. **X**

Commercial seed drilling machinery, Monosem NG Plus

– Emptying and cleaning of the sowing/planting machinery on the field of release. **X**

At the end of the individual plot sowing, the remaining seeds in the machine (if any) were collected, stored and will be sent back to Bayer BioScience N.V.

– Segregation during the sowing/planting (Provide example(s) of containment to prevent spillage during the sowing/planting).

– Other(s): (Specify) **X**

All people involved with the trials have been trained to prevent involuntary release/spillage. The access to the trials was limited only to authorized people.

6.1.3 During the period of release:

– Isolation distance(s) (x metres) **X**

  – from sexually compatible commercial plant species:

  more than 200m from non-experimental cotton,

  – from sexually compatible wild relatives

– Border row(s) (with the same crop or a different one, with a non-transgenic crop, x metres, etc.) **X**

Four border rows x 0.95 m, of 7 m length between parcels and four rows or more around the whole trial were drilled with non-transgenic cotton.

– Cage/net/fence/signpost (Specify)

– Pollen trap (Specify) **X**

Four border rows x 0.95 m, of 7m length between parcels, and four rows or more were drilled around the whole trial with a non-transgenic cotton as a pollen trap.

The target-non target organism study plots, 4 rows x 0.95 m between plots and more than 4 rows x 0.95 m all around the trial.

– Removal of GM inflorescences before flowering (Indicate the frequency of the removal)

- Removal of bolters/relatives/hybrid partners (Indicate the frequency of the removal, x metres around the GM field, etc.)
- Other(s): (Specify):……...……..

6.1.4 At the end of the release:

- Harvest/destruction methods (of crop or parts of it)/other means (e.g., sampling and analysis of sugar beet pulp). (Describe): **X**

  All the harvested has been made by hand. Once the harvest was finished, all vegetable materials have been destroyed chemically with an herbicide different from glufosinate and mechanically (cutting and ploughing).
- Harvest/destruction before the ripeness of the seeds.
- Effective removal of plant parts. **X**

  Yes, by spraying and cutting/ploughing (as described above)
- Segregated storage and transport of crop/waste (Provide example(s) of containment to prevent spillage of collected seeds/crops/wastes) **X**

  None of the plants or plants part, after harvest, have been transported outside the authorized field trials
- Clean up of machinery on the release site. **X**

  Yes, machinery thoroughly cleaned.
- Destination of the waste, treatment of waste/surplus yield/plant residues (Describe). **X**

  The plant residues have been chemically destroyed and incorporated into the soil by rotovation.
- Post-harvest treatment and cultivation measures on the release site (Describe the method(s) for preparing and managing the release site at the end of the release, including cultivation practices). **X**

  All the fields have been ploughed.
- Other(s): (Describe)……

6.1.5 Post-harvest measures

Please indicate which measures were taken on the release site after the harvest (termination):

- Frecuency of visits (average): **X**

Approximately every month.

– Subsequent crop (Specify): **X**

Any other crop than cotton

– Crop rotation (Specify) **X**

Any other crop than cotton.

– Fallow / no crop (Specify))

– Superficial soil work / no deep ploughing

– False sowing beds

– Control of volunteers (Specify intervals and duration) : **X**

The release sites will be visited several times after the trial destruction to confirm that the farmer will not drill commercial cotton on that site and to control potential volunteer plants. If needed, any undesired plant emerged would be mechanically destroyed or by using herbicides (other than glufosinate).

– Appropriate chemical treatment(s) (Specify):

– Appropriate soil treatment(s) (Specify) **X**

Herbicide application

– Others (Please specify)

6.1.6 Other(s) measure(s): (Describe):

6.1.7 Emergency plan(s)

Indicate:

a) If the release proceeded as planned:

– Yes

– No (describe for which reason? E.g. Vandalism, climatic conditions, etc): **X**

Due to climatice conditions, in one of the six locations (Termino municipal Jerez de la Frontera, Polígono 114, Parcela 291) the re-sowing of part of the trial was carried out.

b) If measures according to the emergency plan(s) (Article 6 (2) (a)(vi) and Annex IIIB of Directive 2001/18EC) had to be taken:

– No **X**

– Yes (describe): .....……..

6.2 Post-release monitoring measures

Due to the fact that the current report format can be used for the final and post-release monitoring report(s), the notifier is asked to clearly make the difference between both types of report through this section 2 of Chapter 6. Please indicate whether

– **The post-release monitoring plan will start** (in the case of a final report, after the last harvest of the GM higher plants), **X**

– **The post-release monitoring plan is ongoing** (in the case of an intermediary post-release monitoring report),

– **The post-release monitoring plan has been completed** (in the case of the final post- release monitoring report),

– **No post-release monitoring plan has to be fulfilled.**

The results of this monitoring are meant to confirm or invalidate earlier assumptions in the risk assessment.
According to the afore-mentioned cases, please indicate which monitoring measure(s) will be/are/were taken and where (on the release site/near the site (e.g. on fields edges)). Please be aware that all post-release monitoring measures taken during the whole post-release period shall be indicated here.

Specify:

a) Monitoring measures within site

Duration:

One year from the date of the destructionof the trial.

Frequency of visits (average):

Approximately every month

– Observationof resistant relatives:

– Observation of resistant insects:

– Control of volunteers (specify interval and duration): **X**

Regular visits, mer frequent if volunteers will be detected.

– Monitoring of gene flow (specify).

– Appropriate chemical treatment(s) and/or soil treatment(s)

– Others (Specify)

b) Monitoring measures of adjacent areas

Duration:

Frequency of visits (average):

Area monitored:

- Observation of resistant relatives
- Observation of resistant insects
- Control of volunteers and/or monitoring of feral population (specify intervals and duration)
- Monitoring of gene flow (specify)
- Appropriate chemical tratment(s) and/or soil treatmetn(s)
- Others (please specify)

6.3 Plan for observation(s)/Method(s) involved

In this section the observation plan and the methods used to collect the effects, which have to be reported under the next section (Section 6.4), need to be specified. Any amendments or modifications to the plan as proposed in the application and the SNIF[6] Part B need to be specified in detail.

During the time between the notification and the final report submission, new scientific insights or methods may be developed which cause a change in the methods used. In particular these modifications need to be specified under this section.

6.4 Observed effects

6.4.1 Explanatory note

All results of the deliberate release(s) in respect of any risk for human health or the environment shall be stated, without prejudice to whether the results indicate that any risk is increased, reduced or remains unchanged.
The main objectives of the information given in this section are:

- to confirm or invalidate any assumption regarding the occurrence and impact of potential effect(s) of the GMO(s) which was/were identified in the environmental risk assessment,
- to identify effect(s) of the GMO(s) which was/were not anticipated in the environmental risk assessment.

The observed **effect(s)/interaction(s)** of the GMO(s)

- with respect to any risk to human health,

[6] Summary Notification Information Format (= SNIF)

– with respect to any risk to the environment

shall be reported under this section.

Particular attention shall be drawn to unexpected and unintended effect(s).

Indications as regards the effects, that the notifier may have to report, are provided hereunder. The effects have obviously to be considered in the light of the crop, the new trait, the receiving environment as well as the conclusions of the environmental risk assessment, which is carried out on a case-by-case basis.

In order to structure the information and to facilitate an efficient search within the given information, the notifier shall use, as far as possible, specific keywords to fill in the text fields under Chapter 6, especially sections 6.4.2, 6.4.3 and 6.4.4. A most updated list of those specific keywords is available on the Internet at http://gmoinfo.jrc.it

6.4.2 Expected effect(s)

'Expected effects' refer to potential effects which were already identified in the environmental risk assessment of the notification and could therefore be anticipated shall be addressed under this section.

Notifiers should supply data from the deliberate release(s) which validate the assumptions made in the environmental risk assessment.

The environment risk assessment has not identified any risk for the human health or the environment as a result of the release into the environment of the GHB119 event.

No difference in growing or development has been detected with GM cotton in comparable way with the conventional cotton. No environment problems have been detected in the trials

6.4.3 Unexpected effect(s)

'Unexpected effects' refer to effects on human health or the environment, **which were not foreseen or identified in the environmental risk assessment** of the notification. This part of the report should contain any information with regard to unexpected effects or observations relevant for the initial environmental risk assessment. In case of any observed unexpected effects or observations, this section should be as detailed as possible to allow a proper interpretation of the data.

No unexpected effects were observed.

6.4.4 Oher information

Notifiers are encouraged to supply information, which is outside the scope of the notification but which might be relevatn to the field trials in question. Thismay also include observations of beneficial effects.

7. Conclusion

In this chapter, the nofifier should specify the conclusions drawn and the measures taken or to be taken on the basis of the results of the releasi with regard to further release(s) and, where appropriate, make reference to any kind of product the notifier intends to notify at a later stage**.**

No negative effects on human health and the enviroment have been observed.

Date: 18 December 2008