PART 1 (COUNCIL DECISION 2002/813/EC)

SUMMARY NOTIFICATION INFORMATION FORMAT FOR THE RELEASE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS OTHER THAN HIGHER PLANTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 11 OF DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC

In order to tick one or several possibilities, please use crosses (meaning x or X) into the space provided as (.)

A. General information

4	T		· ·
1	Details	ot noti	tication
	LACIANA		110ann

(a)	Member State of notification	Netherlands
(b)	Notification number	B/NL/03/08
(c)	Date of acknowledgement of notification	07/Apr/2004.
(d)	Title of the project	A phase 1 dose

A phase 1 dose escalation trial of MDX-010 in combination with CG1940 and CG8711 in patients with metastatic

HRPC

(e) Proposed period of release From 01/June/2004 until 01/Apr/2006.

2. Notifier

Name of institution or company: VU Medical Center

Department of medical oncology De Boelelaan 1117, 1007 MB A'dam

The Netherlands

- 3. GMO characterisation
- (a) Indicate whether the GMO is a:

viroid	(.)
RNA virus	(.)
DNA virus	(x)
bacterium	(.)
fungus	(.)
animal	

- mammals (x)
- insect (.)
- fish (.)
- other animal (.)

specify phylum, class GM-CSF secreting allogeneic cellular vaccine cells

(b) Identity of the GMO (genus and species)

Allogeneic, irradiated prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and LnCAP transduced with

rAAV-GM-CSF. After transduction cells were cloned and selected for positive production of GM-CSF and negative testing voor AAV or helper viruses. Subsequently, cells were expanded and prepared for vaccination. Two prostate cancer cell lines are transduced for clinical use (CG1940 and CG8711).

A GM-CSF gene is ex vivo transferred into the prostate cancer cells using a replication deficient recombinant adeno-associated viral vector (Family Parvovirideae, genus Dependovirus, Species adeno-associated virus 2).

(c)	Genetic stability – according to Annex IIIa, II, A(10)
	Stable. The transduced cells are subsequently cloned by either single cell sorting (CG1940
	cell line) or by picking an individual clone (CG8711 cell line). At this early stage of culturing
	in 96well plates, the clones are tested by PCR for the presence of wildype AAV and helper
	virus. No wildtype AAV or helper virus was detected. After expansion of the cells in culture,
	cells are tested for the production of GM-CSF (part of the release criteria).

	cells are tested for the production of		s detected. After expansion of the cells in culture, CSF (part of the release criteria).				
4.	Is the same GMO release planned elsewhere in the Community (in conformity with Article $6(1)$), by the same notifier?						
	Yes (.) No	(x)					
	If yes, insert the country code(s)	•••					
5.	Has the same GMO been notified for release elsewhere in the Community by the same notifier?						
	Yes (.)	No	(x)				
	If yes:						
	- Member State of notification	n					
	- Notification number		B///				

Please use the following country codes:

Austria AT; Belgium BE; Germany DE; Denmark DK; Spain ES; Finland FI; France FR; United Kingdom GB; Greece GR; Ireland IE; Iceland IS; Italy IT; Luxembourg LU; Netherlands NL; Norway NO; Portugal PT; Sweden SE

6. Has the same GMO been notified for release or placing on the market outside the Community by the same or other notifier?

Yes (.) No (x)

If yes:

- Member State of notification ...

- Notification number B/../...

7. Summary of the potential environmental impact of the release of the GMOs.

The potential environment impact of the release of CG1940 and CG8711: the chance that the genetically modified cell lines CG1940 and CG8711 will contain replication-competent AAV can be disregarded due to the cloning procedure and the fact that the rAAV can not replicate in the presence of a helper virus since additional complementation of viral proteins is necessary. Therefore, excretion of the vector in the environment will not occur after administration of CG1940 and CG8711 to patients with prostate cancer. The cell lines are irradiated in order to prevent growth in patients. The risks of this clinical study for human and environment are scored as not harmful by the Dutch Commission on Genetic Modification (Advisenr. 040309-01).

В.	deriv	nation relating to the recipient or parental organism from which the GMO is							
1.	Recip	ient or parental organism characterisation:							
	(a) Indicate whether the recipient or parental organism is a:								
	(selec	tione only)							
	viroid RNA DNA bacter fungu anima	virus (.) ium (.) s (.)							
	- - - -	mammals (x) insect (.) fish (.) other animal (.) (specify phylum, class)							
	(CG8	specify two human prostate tumor cell lines, PC-3 (CG1940) and LNCaP (711) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). PC-3 was ally isolated from a bone metastasis and LNCaP from a prostate cancer lymp node tasis.							
2.	Name (i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v) (vi) (vii)	order and/or higher taxon (for animals) genus species Sapiens subspecies Strain pathovar (biotype, ecotype, race, etc.) common name Primates Homo Sapiens NA Caucasian, site prostate NA							
3.	Geogr	aphical distribution of the organism: Not relevant (NR)							
	(a)	Indigenous to, or otherwise established in, the country where the notification is made: Yes (.) No (NR) Not known (.)							
	(b)	Indigenous to, or otherwise established in, other EC countries: (i) Yes (.)							
		If yes, indicate the type of ecosystem in which it is found:							
		Atlantic Mediteranean Boreal Alpine							

			Continental Macaronesian	l					
		(ii) (iii)	No Not known		(<i>NR</i>) (.)				
	(c)	Is it fr Yes	equently used i	n the co	ountry where (x)	the notif	fication is	nade?	
	(d)	Is it fr Yes	equently kept is	n the co	ountry where (x)	the notif	ication is r	nade?	
4.	Natura	al habita	at of the organis	sm: <i>Not</i>	t relevant (NI	?)			
	(a)	If the	organism is a n	nicroorg	ganism				
		soil in in asso	ree-living association wi ociation with pl specify	_	-				
	(b)		organism is an elevant	animal:	natural habi	tat or usi	ual agroeco	osystem:	
5.	(a)		tion techniques elevant						
	(b)		fication techniquelevant	ues					
6.	of hun If yes,	nan hea specify	nt organism class lth and/or the eyes (x) Vaccine cells C-3) and CRL-	nvironn <i>Biosafe</i>	nent? No (.) ty level 1 (sp	_	-		-
7.			nt organism sign products), eithe No		or dead?	e or harm known	nful in any	other way	(including its
	If yes: (a)		ch of the follow	ving org	ganisms:				
	(b)		ls (.)		n specified ur	nder Ann	nex III A, p	oint II. (A)	(11)(d) of

No pathological, ecological and physiological traits are present.

8.	Information concerning reproduction Not relevant; cells are lethally irradiated before administered to patients								
	(a) Generation time in natural ecosystems: NA								
	(b)	Generation time in the ecosystem where the release will take place: NA NA							
	(c)		f reproduction:	Sexua	al	NA.	Asexual	NA	
	(c)	Factors NA	s affecting reprod	uction:					
9.	Survivability; Not relevant for this product since no viral replication is possible in these cells.						e in these		
	(a)	ability	to form structures	s enhancing	surviva	l or dorma	ncy:		
	(b)		endospores cysts sclerotia asexual spores (for eggs pupae larvae other, specify nt factors affecting	inghi)	(.) (.) (.) (.) (.) (.) (.) (.) (.)				
10.	(a)	NA Ways o	of dissemination						
	(b)	Factors NA	s affecting dissem	nination					
11.		e in the		-	-		ganism already no tification numbers		
C.	Inform	nation 1	relating to the ge	netic modi	fication				
1.	Type o	of the ge	enetic modificatio	n					
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v)	deletio base su cell fus	on of genetic mate on of genetic mate abstitution sion specify		(x) (.) (.) (.)				

2.	To ins	ded outcome of the genetic modification sert sequences coding for the release of human genomic granulocyte-macrophage systimulating factor (hgGM-CS) leading to expression of GM-CSF from the transduced as a potentially therapeutic local immune adjuvant
3.	(a)	Has a vector been used in the process of modification? Yes (x) No (.)
	If no,	go straight to question 5.
	(b)	If yes, is the vector wholly or partially present in the modified organism? Yes (x) No (.)
	If no,	go straight to question 5.
4.	If the	answer to 3(b) is yes, supply the following information
	(a)	Type of vector
		plasmid (.) bacteriophage (.) virus (x) cosmid (.) transposable element (.) other, specify r AAV-MD2-hgGM-CSF vector
	(b)	Identity of the vector rAAV-MD2-hgGM-CSF vector: Recombinant adeno associated virus (rAAV): family Parvovirideae, genus Dependovirus, Species adeno-associated virus 2
	(c)	Host range of the vector human
	(d)	Presence in the vector of sequences giving a selectable or identifiable phenotype Yes (.) No (x)
		antibiotic resistance (.) other, specify
		Indication of which antibiotic resistance gene is inserted
	(e)	Constituent fragments of the vector Replication defective, recombinant AAV vectors are derived by replacement of the rep and cap genes with a heterologous transgene and the genetic control elements needed for its expression. The only viral DNA sequences retained by a rAAV vector are the viral ITRs, flanking the heterologous gene.
	(f)	Method for introducing the vector into the recipient organism

		(i) transformation (.) (ii) electroporation (.) (iii) macroinjection (.) (iv) microinjection (.) (v) infection (x) (vi) other, specify ex vivo transduction
5.		answer to question B.3(a) and (b) is no, what was the method used in the process of fication?
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v)	transformation (.) microinjection (.) microencapsulation (.) macroinjection (.) other, specify
6.	Comp	position of the insert
	(a)	Composition of the insert hg GM-CSF gene
	(b)	Source of each constituent part of the insert The hgGM-CSF gene was amplified by polymerase chain reation (PCR) from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). The amplified hgGM-CSF gene was non-directionally cloned into the EcoRI site of the vector, plox III-4 CMV. Resulting clones were screened by restrictions endonuclease digestion for the proper orientation of the insert, relative to the promoter. The resultant plasmid pSSV9-MD2 hgGGM-CSF contains the hgGM-CSF gene between the β -globin intron and the β -globin polyadenylation site.
	(c)	Intended function of each constituent part of the insert in the GMO production of GM-CSF → stimulation immune response in Hormone Refractory Prostate Cancer patients
	(d)	Location of the insert in the host organism
		 on a free plasmid (.) integrated in the chromosome (x) other, specify
	(e)	Does the insert contain parts whose product or function are not known? Yes (.) No (x) If yes, specify
D.	Infor	mation on the organism(s) from which the insert is derived
1.	Indica	ate whether it is a:
	viroid RNA	

	DNA bacter fungu anima	rium (.) s (.)
	-	mammals (x)
	-	insect (.)
	-	fish (.)
	-	other animal (.)
		(specify phylum, class) specify the hgGM-CSF gene was derived from plox III-4 CMV/hgGM-CSF clone #5 oRI restriction endonuclease digestion
2.	Comp	lete name
	(i)	order and/or higher taxon (for animals)
	(ii)	family name for plants
	(iii)	genus
	(iv)	species
	(v)	subspecies
	(vi)	strain
	(vii)	cultivar/breeding line
	(viii)	pathovar
	(ix)	common name
3.	extrac Yes	organism significantly pathogenic or harmful in any other way (including its rellular products), either living or dead? (.) No (x) Not known (.) specify the following: to which of the following organisms:
		humans (.) animals (.) plants (.) other
	(b)	are the donated sequences involved in any way to the pathogenic or harmful properties of the organism
		Yes (.) No (x) Not known (.)
		If yes, give the relevant information under Annex III A, point II(A)(11)(d):
4.	humai worke	donor organism classified under existing Community rules relating to the protection of a health and the environment, such as Directive 90/679/EEC on the protection of ers from risks to exposure to biological agents at work? Yes (.) No (x) specify

5.	Do th Yes	e donor and red (.)	eipient organis No (x)	_	e genetic ma Not known	terial naturally? (.)
E.	Infor	mation relatin	g to the genet	tically mod	ified organi	ism
1.		tic traits and phe changed as a re				nt or parental organism which have
	(a)	Yes (x)	No liated vaccine	(.)	Not k	nown (.) week and be eliminated by the
	(b)	reproduction Yes (x)	is concerned? No are not able to	(.)	Unkn	t as far as mode and/or rate of own (.) o lethal irradiation and the virus is
	(c)	concerned? Yes (x)	No	(.)	Not k	t as far as dissemination is nown () isseminate anymore due to
	(d)	concerned? Yes (x)	No	(.)	Not k	t as far as pathogenicity is nown (.) no non pathogenic.
2.	Vacci irradi	ation of the cel	netically stable Is and the cell	e and they i 's are very i	will only sur mmunogenio	vive maximally 1 week due to lethal c since they are allogeneic cells lls will be destroyed by immune
3.		GMO significates), either livit			ul in any wa Jnknown	y (including its extracellular (.)
	(a)	to which of the	ne following o	rganisms?		
		humans animals plants other	(.) (.) (.)			

(b) give the relevant information specified under Annex III A, point II(A)(11)(d) and II(C)(2)(i)

. . .

- 4. Description of identification and detection methods
 - (a) Techniques used to detect the GMO in the environment

 There is no foreseen nor expected effect on the environment. Irradiated vaccine cells
 are injected intradermally and locally degraded by the immune system. The cells
 cannot propagate nor be transmitted to persons other than the patient and thus pose
 no special public health risk. Therefore no techniques can be mentioned here.
 - (b) Techniques used to identify the GMO

 Vector sequences in the vaccine cells can be detected by standard molecular techniques such as Southern hybridization or polymerase chain reaction. Once injected, the vaccine cells persist for approx. 96 hrs at the immunization site and are then eliminated by the immune system. During this time presence of the vaccine can be detected in some patients by a temporary modest increase of serum GM-CSF levels.

F. Information relating to the release

1. Purpose of the release (including any significant potential environmental benefits that may be expected)

The vaccine cells (CG1940 / CG8711) are intended for the treatment of Hormone Refractory Prostate Cancer (HRPC) patients.

It is expected that the expression of hgGM-CSF by the vaccine cells CG1940 / CG8711 will provoke an immuneresponse against the tumorantigens, expressed by the vaccine cells. Administration of MDX-010 will possibly enhance the immune response.

Vaccine cells CG1940 / CG8711 will be given as an intradermal injection: injection sites will rotate between upper and lower extremities with each successive treatment. CG1940 should be administered on the right side and CG8711 on the left side whenever possible. MDX-010 will be administered over 90 minutes as an intravenous infusion. The study will monitor safety and toleratbility of escalating doses of MDX-010 in combination with CG1940 and CG8711 in patients with metastatic HRPC.

2.	Is the site of the release different from the natural habitat or from the ecosystem in which the								
	recipient or parental organism is regularly used, kept or found?								
	Yes (.)	No	(x)						
	If yes, specify								
	11 Jes, specify								

- 3. Information concerning the release and the surrounding area
 - (a) Geographical location (administrative region and where appropriate grid reference): *Administrative region: Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum (VUMC), Amsterdam NL*

(b)	Size of the site	(m^2)):	m ²
(0)	DIEC OI WIE DICE	(,.	111

- (i) actual release site (m²): ... m²
 Patients administered with CG1940 / CG8711 and MDX-010 will be hospitalized only for the first treatment but at liberty to move around thereafter. Therefore it is difficult to define the actual release site.
- (ii) wider release site (m^2) : ... m^2
- (c) Proximity to internationally recognised biotopes or protected areas (including drinking water reservoirs), which could be affected:

 Not relevant
- (d) Flora and fauna including crops, livestock and migratory species which may potentially interact with the GMO

 Not relevant
- 4. Method and amount of release
 - (a) Quantities of GMOs to be released: Prime vaccination (visit 1) of $5*10^8$ cells per dose and boost vaccinations (visits 2-13) of $3*10^8$ cells per dose to HRPC patient.
 - (b) Duration of the operation: 26 weeks (13 vaccinations every 2 weeks)
 - (c) Methods and procedures to avoid and/or minimise the spread of the GMOs beyond the site of the release

 None, the vaccine cells (GMO) are irradiated before administration so they can't duplicate in the patient; it is expected that the vaccine cells are not present any more 4 days after the administration.
- 5. Short description of average environmental conditions (weather, temperature, etc.) *In the NL there is an average sea-climate.*
- 6. Relevant data regarding previous releases carried out with the same GMO, if any, specially related to the potential environmental and human health impacts from the release.

 No potential environmental and human health impacts are to be mentioned.
- G. Interactions of the GMO with the environment and potential impact on the environment, if significantly different from the recipient or parent organism
- 1. Name of target organism (if applicable)
 - (i) order and/or higher taxon (for animals) ...
 (ii) family name for plants ...
 (iii) genus Homo
 (iv) species H.Sapiens
 (v) subspecies ...
 - (vi)
 strain
 ...

 (vii)
 cultivar/breeding line
 ...

 (viii)
 pathovar
 ...

 (ix)
 common name
 Man

- 2. Anticipated mechanism and result of interaction between the released GMOs and the target organism (if applicable)

 Expression of GM-CSF from the vaccine cells at the immunization site is expected to attract cells of the immune system to that site and elicit an immune response directed towards tumor antigens expressed on the vaccine cells.
- 3. Any other potentially significant interactions with other organisms in the environment *None*
- 4. Is post-release selection such as increased competitiveness, increased invasiveness for the GMO likely to occur?

 Ves. () No. (x) Not known ()

Yes (.) No (x) Not known (.) Give details

...

- 5. Types of ecosystems to which the GMO could be disseminated from the site of release and in which it could become established

 Not relevant. Once injected, the vaccine cells persist for approx 96 hrs at the immunization.
 - Not relevant. Once injected, the vaccine cells persist for approx. 96 hrs at the immunization site of a patient and are then eliminated by the immune system of the patient.
- 6. Complete name of non-target organisms which (taking into account the nature of the receiving environment) may be unintentionally significantly harmed by the release of the GMO

order and/or higher taxon (for animals) *Not applicable* (i) (ii) family name for plants (iii) genus (iv) species (v) subspecies strain (vi) cultivar/breeding line (vii) pathovar (viii) (ix) common name

- 7. Likelihood of genetic exchange in vivo
 - (a) from the GMO to other organisms in the release ecosystem: *no exchange is expected*
 - (b) from other organisms to the GMO: no exchange is expected
 - (c) likely consequences of gene transfer: *no exchange is expected*
- 8. Give references to relevant results (if available) from studies of the behaviour and characteristics of the GMO and its ecological impact carried out in stimulated natural environments (e.g. microcosms, etc.):

Systemic antitumor immune responses have been observed in phase I/II studies (unpublished data).

9. Possible environmentally significant interactions with biogeochemical processes (if different from the recipient or parental organism)

Not applicable

H. Information relating to monitoring

- 1. Methods for monitoring the GMOs *Immunomonitoring in patients (level of GM-CSF)*
- 2. Methods for monitoring ecosystem effects *Not relevant*
- 3. Methods for detecting transfer of the donated genetic material from the GMO to other organisms

Not relevant ...

- 4. Size of the monitoring area (m^2) Not relevant ... m^2
- 5. Duration of the monitoring *Not relevant* ...
- 6. Frequency of the monitoring *Not relevant* ...

I. Information on post-release and waste treatment

1. Post-release treatment of the site

No specific treatment is necessary, since risk is none existing and cells are injected into the dermis of the patient and once injected, the vaccine cells persist for approx. 96 hrs at the immunization site and are then eliminated by the immune system.

- 2. Post-release treatment of the GMOs *Not applicable*
- 3. (a) Type and amount of waste generated *Not applicable* ...
- 3. (b) Treatment of waste *Not applicable*

J. Information on emergency response plans

- 1. Methods and procedures for controlling the dissemination of the GMO(s) in case of unexpected spread *Not applicable* ...
- 2. Methods for removal of the GMO(s) of the areas potentially affected *Not applicable* ...

- 3. Methods for disposal or sanitation of plants, animals, soils, etc. that could be exposed during or after the spread *Not applicable* ...
- 4. Plans for protecting human health and the environment in the event of an undesirable effect *Not applicable* ...