

ANNEX

**FORMAT FOR THE PRESENTATION OF THE RESULT OF DELIBERATE  
RELEASE INTO THE ENVIRONMENT OF GENETICALLY  
MODIFIED HIGHER PLANTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10 OF  
DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC**

(COMMISSION DECISION 2003/701/EC)

LOGO OF THE COMPANY OR RESEARCH INSTITUTE (OPTIONAL)

*The report format shall be completed by the notifier. The notifier shall fill in the report format according to the proposed form (tick boxes and/or, as far as possible, specific keywords to use in text fields). The notifier shall illustrate as much as possible the reported data by means of diagrams, figures and tables. Statistical data could also be provided where relevant.*

*In the case of multi-sites, multi-events and/or multi-annual release(s), the notifier shall provide a general overview of the measures taken and effects observed for the full duration of the consent.*

*The space provided after each item is not indicative of the depth of the information required for the purposes of this report.*

1. **General information**

1.1. **European notification number:** *B/PL/06/02-06*

1.2. **Member State of notification:** *Poland*

1.3. **Date of consent and consent number:** *19 March 2009, Decision No. 02-1/2009*

2. **Status sprawozdawczy**

**2. Report status**

**1.1. Please indicate whether, according to Article 3 of the present Decision, the current report is:**

— **the final report**

— a post-release monitoring report

—  final  intermediary

3. **Characteristics of the release**

**Scientific name of the recipient organism::** *Zea mays*

3.2. **Transformation event(s) (acronym(s)) or vectors (<sup>1</sup>) used (if transformation event identity not available):** *NK603*

3.3. **Unique identifier, if available:** *MON-00603-6*

3.4. **Please provide the following information as well as the field(s) layout:**

Geographical location (s) (administrative region and, where appropriate, grid reference)	Size of the release site(s) (m2)	Identity and approximate number of GM* higher plants per event actually released	Duration of the release
site at Dolnośląskie Province, in Domaniów commune (the fields belong to the Institute of Cultivation, Fertilisation and Soil Science in Wrocław, ul. Orzechowa 61, 50-540 Wrocław)	Site 400 m2	NK603 hybrids 3000 plants released	Sowing: 2009-04-28 Destruction: 2009-09-11**  Trial terminated
site at Dolnośląskie Province, in city Wrocław (the fields belong to the Institute of Cultivation, Fertilisation and Soil Science in Wrocław, ul. Orzechowa 61, 50-540 Wrocław)	400 m2	NK603 hybrids 3000 plants released	Sowing: 2009-04-28 Destruction: 2009-10-23
One location within Wielkopolskie Province, in Winna Góra, Miłosław commune (the fields belong to Institute of Plant Protection, Winna Góra, 62-320 Miłosław).  Grid Reference: E:17° 25.864 N:52° 13.101	390 m2	NK603 hybrids 2860 plants released	Sowing: 2009-04-30 Destruction: 2009-10-20

<sup>1</sup> Specify the size of the GM area and, where appropriate, the size of the non-GM area (e.g. non-GM border).

<sup>2</sup> Vectors used:

\*GM: *genetically modified*

\*\*Notes:

*At one site in a location within the Lower Silesian Voivodship in Domaniów (commune) field research was discontinued due to unfavourable weather conditions as notified in a letter of 25 September 2009. All plants were destroyed by mechanical cutting and disintegration, subsequently deep plough.*

#### 4. Any kind of product that the notifier intends to notify at a later stage

##### 4.1. Does the notifier intend to notify the released transformation event(s) as product(s) for placing on the market under Community legislation(s) at a later stage?

Yes.

*NK603 maize is authorized in the EU for food, feed, import and processing in accordance with Directive 2001/18/CE (Commission Decision of July, 19<sup>th</sup> 2004, OJEU of 19/09/04). The use of NK603 maize and its fractions has been also authorized in accordance with Regulation CE/258/97 (October 26<sup>th</sup>, 2004). Application for authorization of NK603 maize cultivation has been submitted in accordance with Directive 2001/18/CE (C/ES/03/01), and Regulation 1829/2003 (EFSA-GMO-NL-2005-22).*

If yes, indicate the country(ies) of notification:.. Maize growing Member States of the EU

If yes, specify for which use(s):

- Y Import
- Y Cultivation (e.g. seed/planting material production)
- Y Food
- Y Feed
- N Pharmaceutical use (or processing for pharmaceutical use)
- Y Processing for
  - Y Food use
  - Y Feed use
  - Y Industrial use
- Others (specify):.....

#### 5. Type(s) of deliberate release(s)

##### 5.1. Deliberate release(s) for research purposes

Yes.

*To evaluate Roundup Ready herbicide (MON79351) efficacy for registration purposes in NK 603 maize at three sites. To evaluate phytotoxicity of Roundup Ready herbicide (MON79351) to NK603 maize.*

*Results and data from 2009 are contained in Report nr 67 from trial 09-ku-69-As from Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, Wroclaw and Report Nr 257/2009 from Institute of Plant Protection, Poznan.*

##### 5.2. Deliberate release(t) for development purposes

- N Event screening
- Y Proof of concept (2)
- Y Agronomic performances (e.g. efficiency/selectivity of plant protection product, yield capacity, germination capacity, crop establishment, plant vigour, plant height, susceptibility to climatic factors/diseases, etc.) (specify)
  - Herbicide tolerance
- Y Altered agronomic properties (e.g. disease/pest/drought/frost-resistance, etc.) (specify)

- N Altered qualitative properties (prolonged shelf-life, enhanced nutritional value, modified composition, etc.) (specify)
- N Stability of the expression
- N Multiplication of lines
- N Hybrid vigour study
- N Molecular farming (<sup>3</sup>)
- N Phyto-remediation
- N Others: .....(describe).....

**5.3 Official testing: *Not applicable***

- Variety registration on a national variety catalogue
  - DUS (**D**istinctness, **U**niformity and **S**tability)
  - VCU (**V**alue of **C**ultivation and **U**se)
- Others: (specify).....

**5.4 Herbicide authorisation**

*Efficacy and selectivity trials with a new glyphosate formulation (MON 79351), to generate the necessary data for its registration by Polish Authorities.*

**5.4 Deliberate release(s) for demonstration purposes**

*Not applicable.*

**5.6 Seeds multiplication**

*Not applicable.*

**5.7 Deliberate release(s) for biosafety/risk assessment research**

*Not applicable*

- Vertical gene transfer studies
  - Out-crossing with conventional crops
  - Out-crossing with wild relatives
- Horizontal gene transfer studies (gene transfer to micro-organisms)
- Management of volunteers
- Potential changes in persistence or dispersal
- Potential invasiveness
- Potential effects on target organisms
- Potential effects on non-target organisms
- Observation of resistant relatives
- Observations of resistant insects
- Others: (describe).....

**5.8 Other(s) types) of deliberate release(s):**

(describe) ..... Not applicable.

## 6. Method(s), result(s) of the release, management and monitoring measure(s) in respect of any risk to human health or the environment

### 6.1 Risk management measure(s)

Please report the risk-management measures, which have been used to avoid or minimize the spread of the GMO(s) outside the site(s) of release, and in particular those measures

- which were not originally notified in the application,
- which were applied in addition to the conditions in the consent,
- which the consent required only under certain conditions (e.g. dry periods, flooding),
- for which the consent allowed the notifier a choice among different measures.

*Tick the examples where appropriate:*

#### 6.1.1 Before the sowing/planting:

- *Seeds of NK603 maize hybrids were packed and clearly labelled by qualified staff of Monsanto installations at the Investigation Centre located in Peyrehorade (France) and authorized to carry out confined operations with GM organisms (N° 4593 6 April 2006 Commission de Genie Genetique, France).*
- *On arrival in Poland, seeds of NK603 maize hybrids were packed and clearly labelled by qualified staff at the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, Wroclaw, Poland and at the Institute of Plant Protection, Poznan*
- *In all cases seed transport to the field was made the same day of the sowing, in the pre-prepared bag, labelled and closed in the laboratory, and sorted according to the trial layout.*
- *Destruction of superfluous seeds – seeds under supervision of representatives from Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation (IUNG), Main Inspectorate of Plant Health and Seed Inspection (PIORiN) and Monsanto Polska sp. z o.o. was packed, sealed, clearly labeled and sent back to Monsanto installations at the Investigation Centre located in Peyrehorade (France)*
- *Crop rotation (previous crops) – lupinus, sorghum*

- Y Clear labelling of the GM seeds/planting material lots (distinct from other seeds/tubers/etc.) (describe)
- Y Segregation during the processing and transport of the seed/planting material (describe the method involved; provide example(s) of containment to prevent spillage during the processing and transport)
- Y Destruction of superfluous seeds/planting material (describe the method involved)
- NA Temporal isolation (specify)
- Y Rotation (specify the previous crop(s))
- Other(s): (specify)

#### 6.1.2. During the sowing/planting activities:

- *Seeds were transported in a closed bag. They were handled by qualified staff, avoiding any unintentional dissemination.*
- *Sowing was made by hand and with clean sowing machinery, avoiding spill in the soil.*
- *Before removing the machinery out of the field, it was checked that all the sowing bins had been cleaned.*

- *Minister of Environment and Main Inspector of Plant Health and Seed Inspection (PIORiN) were informed of the sowing dates with anticipation, and the plantings were made under supervision of officials from the Inspection*

#### **6.1.3. During the period of release:**

- *Trials were visited regularly and data were collected on several dates during the growing season. Trials were visited by experts from the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, Wroclaw and the Institute of Plant Protection, Poznan. During the visits, it was observed that the crop (maize) grew in the manner expected of conventional maize and displayed all the phenotypic and agronomic characteristics of conventional maize. It did not tend to become weedy or exhibit a greater tendency for susceptibility to pests or diseases than conventional maize.*
- *The trials were surrounded by at least 6 lines (in wielkopolskie voivoidship 8 lines) of conventional maize as pollen barrier.*
- *In addition trials were located 200 m from any other maize crop fields.*
- *No negative effect was observed on “non target” organisms or on the biodiversity in general.*

*No incidences occurred related to safety for human health and environment*

#### **6.1.4. At the end of the release:**

- *Harvesting dates and information relating to the trials were recorded in reports written by the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, Wroclaw and the Institute of Plant Protection, Poznan.*
- *All the trials were harvested by hand and grain was used for yield data evaluation.*
- *After evaluation the grain from the trials were devitalised by heating and then buried in the field to a depth of approximately 4 meter.*
- *Crop remains (stalk, leaves husks and roots) were destroyed by mechanically ploughing and chopping plant residues (with a chopper and an offset disc harrow) and then, deeply ploughed (40 cm) by making several passes with the tillage implement.*
- *The combine and transporter were cleaned before leaving the field.*

#### **6.1.5. Post-harvest measures**

*Monitoring was not conducted during the main winter months as maize will not germinate and grow in the Polish winter. No volunteers were encountered at any of the sites either at the end of 2009 or in 2010. Each of the 2 relevant release sites were monitored for appearance of volunteer maize 3 times during 2010. Inspections and monitoring were conducted on 20 April, 10 June and 26 August 2010. No volunteers were found at either site on any of the field inspection visits.*

#### **6.1.6. Others) measure(s): (describe):**

*Not applicable*

#### **6.1.7. Emergency plan(s)**

*All the biosafety measures planned to avoid volunteers were applied*

Indicate:

(a) if the release proceeded as planned:

- ~~YES~~
- No (describe for which reason, e.g. vandalism, climatic conditions, etc.).....

*The release proceeded as planned for 2 of 3 trials. One trial was terminated early as a result of unfavourable weather conditions causing stress to the plants and making the trial unsuitable for the collection of data.*

b) if measures according to the emergency plans) (Article 6(2)(a)(vi) and Annex III.B of Directive 2001/18/EC) had to be taken:

- NO. *They were not necessary.*
- ~~Yes (describe):~~

## 6.2. Post-release monitoring measures

*The post-release monitoring plan has been completed (Final post-release monitoring report 2011).*

- **the post-release monitoring plan will start (in the case of a final report, after the last harvest of the GM higher plants)**
- **the post-release monitoring plan is ongoing (in the case of an intermediary post-release monitoring report),**
- **the post-release monitoring plan has been completed (in the case of the final post-release monitoring report),**
- **no post-release monitoring plan has to be fulfilled.**

The results of this monitoring are meant to confirm or invalidate earlier assumptions in the risk assessment.

According to the aforementioned cases, please indicate which monitoring measure(s) will be/are/were taken and where (on the release site/near the site (e.g. on fields edges)). Please be aware that all post-release monitoring measures taken during the whole post-release period shall be indicated here.

*A monitoring plan was implemented making use of a Monsanto Part B monitoring form for maize volunteers that records the presence, number and destruction of volunteers at each release site. The monitoring plan made provision for 3 field visits in 2010. Records of site visits and monitoring data are kept at Monsanto Poland. Copies are attached to this report. No volunteers were encountered at any of the sites either at the end of 2009 or in 2010. Each of the 2 relevant release sites were monitored for appearance of volunteer maize 3 times during 2010. Inspections and monitoring were conducted on 20 April, 10 June and 26 August 2010 to cover the time that maize volunteers might be expected to appear. Germination after end of August would not allow complete development or flowering before the onset of the Polish winter. No volunteers were found at either site on any of the field inspection visits.*

*Details of each visit, frequencies and the monitoring activities are listed in the individual site reports.*

*Maize doesn't have wild relatives in Poland and all safety measures were correctly applied including pollen barriers and 200 m isolation distance so there is no need to monitor surrounding fields.*

Specify:

- Monitoring measures within site
  - Duration:
  - Frequency of visits (average):
    - Observation of resistant relatives
    - Observation of resistant insects — Control of volunteers (specify intervals and duration)
    - Monitoring of gene flow (specify)
    - Appropriate chemical treatment(s) and/or soil treatment(s)
    - Others (specify).....
- Monitoring measures of adjacent areas
  - Duration:
  - Frequency of visits (average):
  - Area monitored:
    - Observation of resistant relatives
    - Observation of resistant insects
    - Control of volunteers and/or monitoring of feral populations (specify intervals and duration)
    - Monitoring of gene flow (specify)
    - Appropriate chemical treatment(s) and/or soil treatment(s)
    - Others (specify)

### 6.3. **Plan for observation(s)/method(s) involved**

*Positions of the fields are identified by appropriate grid references and field corners marked with permanent stakes. The monitoring plan made provision for 3 field visits in 2010. The observations and monitoring are geared to preventing the establishment of maize volunteers following termination of the trials so that pollen flow from volunteers is prevented.*

*General observations on plant health, disease sensitivity, plant development were made at all sites and no unexpected and unusual characteristic were observed.*

### 6.4. **Observed effect(s)**

*No unexpected effects were observed*

*NK603 maize plants presented no additional risks to human or animal health, or the environment when compared to conventional varieties. Risk remained unchanged.*

*NK603 maize plants developed normally and performed agronomically and phenotypically similar to conventional maize. In the trials, tolerance to glyphosate herbicide was confirmed for NK603 maize plants.*



*The results of efficacy and selectivity trials with glyphosate formulations will be used to support their registration by Polish Authorities.*

## **7. Conclusion**

*The voluntary release was carried out in accordance with the measures proposed in the notification (Decision No. 02-1/2009) and established by the Competent Authority of Poland (Ministry of Environment) dated 19 March 2009 ensuring safety to human and animal health and to the environment.*

*All the measures to avoid the pollen and grain spread of the genetically modified plants outside the trial fields were taken. The 2 relevant sites were inspected and monitored for volunteers 3 times during 2010 (April, June and August). No volunteers were encountered at either site either in 2009 or 2010. Copies of monitoring data for 2010 are attached to this report.*

*Reports from Poznan (Nr 257/2009) and Wroclaw (Nr 67 from trial 09-ku-69-As) provide a full account of the deliberate release and the data collected. Copies of the reports are available from Monsanto Poland.*

*Field trials were carried out as planned. Behaviour of NK603 maize hybrids was as expected, and no adverse effect on either human or animal health or on the environment was observed.*

In this chapter, the notifier should specify the conclusions drawn and the measures taken or to be taken on the basis of the results of the release with regard to further release(s) and, where appropriate, make reference to any kind of product the notifier intends to notify at a later stage.

*The information provided in this report is not considered confidential in accordance with Article 25 of Directive 2001/18/EC. This does not prevent the competent authority from requiring additional information from the notifier, both confidential and non-confidential. In the case of confidential data, it should be provided in an Annex to the report format, with a non-confidential summary or general description of these data, which will be made available to the public.*

**Attached are Monitoring reports for 3 visits to Wroclaw Gęsice and three visits to Wroclaw IUNG**

**MONSANTO ‘PART B ‘REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

**Instructions:** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any “no” answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw Gęsice</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 50°56’3940      Longitude: E 17°06’44 89
Current state of the field (fallow/planted):      Field planted Crop: Winter wheat		

<b>Compliance Item</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under ‘ <b>Comments</b> ’				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under ‘ <b>Comments</b> ’				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 10.06.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date:

**MONSANTO 'PART B 'REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

***Instructions:*** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any “no” answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw Gęsice</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 50°56'3940      Longitude: E 17°06'44 89
Current state of the field (fallow/planted):      Field planted Crop: Winter wheat		

<b>Compliance Item</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under ' <b>Comments</b> '				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under ' <b>Comments</b> '				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 20.04.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date:

**MONSANTO 'PART B 'REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

**Instructions:** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any "no" answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw Gęsice</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 50°56'3940      Longitude: E 17°06'44 89
Current state of the field (fallow/planted): plowed field Crop: without plants		

Compliance Item	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under 'Comments'				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under 'Comments'				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 26.08.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date:

**MONSANTO 'PART B 'REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

**Instructions:** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any "no" answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw IUNG</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 51°04'3979      Longitude: E 17°02'34 00
Current state of the field (fallow/planted): Field planted Crop: white mustard ( <i>Sinapis alba</i> ) and buckwheat ( <i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> )		

Compliance Item	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under 'Comments'				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under 'Comments'				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 10.06.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date:

**MONSANTO 'PART B 'REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

**Instructions:** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any "no" answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw IUNG</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 51°04'3979      Longitude: E 17°02' 34 00
Current state of the field (fallow/planted): Plowed field before winter Crop: field without vegetation		

<b>Compliance Item</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under 'Comments'				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under 'Comments'				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 20.04.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date:

**MONSANTO 'PART B 'REGULATED FIELD SITE INSPECTION  
Monitoring for Volunteers and compliance**

**Instructions:** Complete the checklist for each trial under one notification at a release site. Use a separate form for each notification or trial site. Use Comments column to describe findings or explain any "no" answers. File the Inspection data as part of the Inspection Report.

Monsanto trial number:	Part B # (current): <b>DECISION No. 02-1/2009</b>	Site Responsible Researcher: Budziak Szymon
Release site: District: <b>Wroclaw IUNG</b> Country: <b>Poland</b>	Previous notifications covering this site (if any):	GPS Coordinates (in degrees): Location (e.g. corner, middle of site): Latitude: N 51°04'3979      Longitude: E 17°02' 34 00
Current state of the field (fallow/planted): Field planted Crop: white mustard ( <i>Sinapis alba</i> ) and buckwheat ( <i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> )		

Compliance Item	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
Is the field site marked with permanent markers that identify the field site?	X			
Is the field labeled with permanent labels or tags identifying that it is a GM trial using an appropriate symbol or name?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues left on the trial site?	X			
Were after-harvest plant residues crushed and buried to a depth of approximately 35cm?	X			
Were maize volunteers found growing at the trial site?		X		
Have any volunteer maize plants shed pollen yet? If so explain under 'Comments'				there was no volunteer
How many volunteer maize plants were counted across the entire field?				there was no volunteer
Were all volunteers encountered destroyed? Insert date of destruction under 'Comments'				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Were destroyed volunteers left in the field?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
How were volunteers destroyed? Hand-pulling or spraying or ploughing?				Not applicable because there was no volunteer
Additional Comments:				
Inspector name (print) and signature: Budziak Szymon				Inspection Date: 26.08.2010
Scientific Co-operator name (print) and signature:				Date: